Planned Spinal Cord Stimulator Generator Explantation with Reimplantation After Significant Weight Loss

Benjamin Gill, DO, MBA; Ebby Varghese, MD University of Missouri Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Introduction

The effects of planned intensive weight loss, including bariatric surgery, on SCS effectiveness has not yet been established. This case series discusses two patients with planned SCS generator explantation with subsequent reimplantation following significant weight loss.

Patient Characteristics

Patient A: 48-year-old male

- Dx: Lower extremity neuropathic pain
- SCS therapy for 9 years
- Presented with a defective (failed to charge) SCS, although the device previously provided benefit
- With a planned bariatric surgery, SCS generator was removed with preservation of stimulato leads

Patient B: 50-year-old female

- Dx: CRPS
- SCS therapy for 2 years
- Presented with progressive pain at generate implant site following Roux-en-Y gastric by 5 months previously and reduction of over 3 body mass
- Underwent generator explanation with reter of stimulator leads

Results

Patient A:

- Underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass at 15 months after generator removal.
- Over the following 9 months (a total of 2 years following SCS generator
- Considering weight stabilization, a replacement generator was implanted in previous pocket, utilizing the intact dorsal column stimulator leads.
- The patient reported satisfaction with implant and reduction in pain at 3 months after implantation.

Patient B:

- Continued medically supervised weight loss for one year after generator removal
- Successfully reached a BMI of 26 kg/m² from a previous BMI of 50 kg/m2.
- At one year after generator removal, a new SCS generator was implanted and connected to previous intact leads.
- Patient reported ongoing benefit from SCS at 18 months following implantation.

rator		Patient A	Patient B
	Demographics	48 yo M	50 yo F
	SCS treatment diagnosis	Lower extremity neuropathy	Complex regional pain syndrome
or Dass 30 kg	Duration of SCS therapy before explantation	9 years	2 years
	Time without SCS	24 months	12 months
ntion	BMI change at time of re-implantation	-18 kg/m²	-24 kg/m²



explantation), body mass index (BMI) decreased from 55 to 37 kg/m².

The most common reasons for SCS device explantation include inadequate efficacy, hardware discomfort, need for magnetic resonance imaging, or infection.

loss.

Device explantation has potential to decrease the risk of generator pocket dehiscence and/or pain at generator site during weight loss. Further, this approach (SCS) generator explantation followed by a replacement implantation when the weight loss reaches a stable level) introduces opportunities for adjustments in SCS therapy such as implementation of a smaller battery or creation of a new battery site.

Overall, this strategy may avoid adverse consequences associated with the SCS battery during a planned, medically guided weight loss process.

Pain 2021: A Whole New World



Discussion

This is the first study reporting SCS generator explantation for planned, medically guided weight

Conclusion

Dupré DA, Tomycz N, Whiting D, Oh M. Spinal Cord Stimulator Explantation: Motives for Removal of Surgically Placed Paddle Systems. Pain Pract. 2018;18(4):500-504. doi:10.1111/papr.12639

• Simopoulos T, Aner M, Sharma S, Ghosh P, Gill JS. Explantation of Percutaneous Spinal Cord Stimulator Devices: A Retrospective Descriptive Analysis of a Single-Center 15-Year Experience. Pain Med. 2019;20(7):1355-1361. doi:10.1093/pm/pny245 Han JL, Murphy KR, Hussaini SMQ, et al. Explantation Rates and Healthcare Resource Utilization in Spinal Cord Stimulation. Neuromodulation. 2017;20(4):331-339. doi:10.1111/ner.12567

Pope JE, Deer TR, Falowski S, et al. Multicenter Retrospective Study of Neurostimulation With Exit of Therapy by Explant. Neuromodulation. 2017;20(6):543-552. doi:10.1111/ner.12634